IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.719 of 2006
ANIL PRASAD
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
---

6. 26.8.2008. This Cr. Revision has been filed against the order dated 25.7.2006 passed by Principal Judge, Family Court, Samastipur in Maintenance Case No. 4 of 2004 thereby the petitioner has been directed to pay maintenance of Rs.600/- per month to opposite party no. 2.

Heard learned counsel for both the parties.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that earlier the petitioner had paid Rs.1,25,000/- to the opposite party no. 2. In spite of that she filed a separate maintenance case in which the impugned order has been passed. It is further submitted that actually the petitioner is a mentally disordered person and he is not able to pay the amount of maintenance.

Considered the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and also perused the impugned order.

On perusal of the impugned order, it appears that in the course of the enquiry the petitioner himself admitted to pay Rs.600/- to opposite party no. 2 and on his statement the case was disposed of on the terms arrived at between the parties.

In that view of the matter, I am not inclined to interfere in the impugned order. Accordingly, this Cr. Revision is rejected.

kanchan **(Ghanshyam Prasad, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL